



Countably Generated Families

R. Daniel Mauldin

Proceedings of the American Mathematical Society, Vol. 54, No. 1 (Jan., 1976), 291-297.

Stable URL:

<http://links.jstor.org/sici?sici=0002-9939%28197601%2954%3A1%3C291%3ACGF%3E2.0.CO%3B2-4>

Proceedings of the American Mathematical Society is currently published by American Mathematical Society.

Your use of the JSTOR archive indicates your acceptance of JSTOR's Terms and Conditions of Use, available at <http://www.jstor.org/about/terms.html>. JSTOR's Terms and Conditions of Use provides, in part, that unless you have obtained prior permission, you may not download an entire issue of a journal or multiple copies of articles, and you may use content in the JSTOR archive only for your personal, non-commercial use.

Please contact the publisher regarding any further use of this work. Publisher contact information may be obtained at <http://www.jstor.org/journals/ams.html>.

Each copy of any part of a JSTOR transmission must contain the same copyright notice that appears on the screen or printed page of such transmission.

JSTOR is an independent not-for-profit organization dedicated to creating and preserving a digital archive of scholarly journals. For more information regarding JSTOR, please contact jstor-info@umich.edu.

COUNTABLY GENERATED FAMILIES

R. DANIEL MAULDIN

ABSTRACT. This paper discusses some interrelationships between various statements involving sets generated by rectangles, universal spaces, and real-valued measures on the continuum. Borel sets on ordinal spaces are also discussed.

Definition. Let E denote a set. If A is a subset of $E^2 = E \times E$, let $A_x = \{y | (x, y) \in A\}$, for each x in E and let $A^y = \{x | (x, y) \in A\}$, for each y in E . Let R be the family of all sets of the form $A \times B$ in E^2 .

If G is a subset of 2^E , let G_0 be G and for each ordinal α , let G_α be the family of all countable unions (intersections) of sets in $\bigcup_{\gamma < \alpha} G_\gamma$ if α is odd (even). Limit ordinals will be considered even. Of course, G_{ω_1} is the smallest family including G which is closed under countable unions and intersections; G_{ω_1} is the Borel lattice generated by G . It can be checked that if for each $A \in G$, $A' \in G_{\omega_1}$, then G_{ω_1} is closed under complements and G_{ω_1} is then the σ -algebra or Borel algebra generated by G .

In [1], a study is made of the Borel lattice (algebra) generated by the family R and a number of the results stated in that paper will be used here. In particular, if $|E| > c$, then the main diagonal in $E \times E$ is not in R_{ω_1} . If $|E| \leq \omega_1$, then $2^{E^2} = R_{\omega_1} = R_{\sigma\delta}$. (It should be noted that throughout this paper, the Axiom of Choice is assumed and cardinals are regarded as initial ordinals.)

Kunen [4] investigated the family R_{ω_1} when $|E| \leq c$. He showed that Martin's Axiom implies $R_{\sigma\delta} = 2^{E^2}$, if $|E| \leq c$.

Recently, Franklin Tall and Kenneth Kunen have constructed a model of ZFC in which Martin's Axiom fails and yet $2^{E^2} = R_{\omega_1}$, if $|E| \leq c$. R. Mansfield [18] and B. V. Rao [19], [20] have also studied the sets generated by rectangles and have solved some of Ulam's problems with their aid.

There are a number of interesting consequences which follow from the assumption that $2^{E^2} = R_{\omega_1}$ and from the techniques which have been used in the study of families generated by R .

Results.

THEOREM 1. *Suppose $2^{E^2} = R_{\sigma\delta}$, where $|E| = c$, and if $\lambda < c$, then $2^\lambda \leq c$. Then there is a subset M of $[0,1]$ such that the Banach space $B_1(M)$ consisting of the bounded real-valued functions of Baire's class 1 defined on M and under the uniform norm is universal for all Banach spaces of cardinality c .*

Received by the editors November 15, 1974.

AMS (MOS) subject classifications (1970). Primary 04A15, 04A30; Secondary 28A05.

Key words and phrases. Rectangles, continuum hypothesis, Martin's Axiom, universal space, measurable cardinal, ray of ordinals, Borel sets.

PROOF. It is known that the condition $\lambda < c$ implies $2^\lambda \leq c$ is equivalent to $c = c^c$. It is known that $c = c^c$ implies there is a zero-dimensional compact T_2 space U of weight c such that if Y is a compact T_2 space weight $\leq c$, then Y is a continuous image of U [16], [17, p. 131]. If X is a Banach space and $|X| = c$, then X can be embedded in a space $C(S)$ where S is a compact T_2 space and $|C(S)| = c$. But if $|C(S)| \leq c$, then weight of S is $\leq c$. Thus, X can be embedded in $C(U)$. Since U has weight c , $|C(U)| \leq c$. Now by Theorem 4.4 of [7], there is a subset M of $[0,1]$ such that $B_1(M)$ is universal for all Banach spaces of cardinality c .

Question. Does the existence of a universal Banach space of cardinality c imply $c = c^c$ or that there is a compact T_2 space, U , of weight c so that every compact T_2 space of weight c is a continuous image of U ?

There are a number of other consequences of the assumption that $R_2 = R_{\sigma\delta} = 2^{E^2}$ by itself or together with a cardinality condition. For example, if $2^{\aleph_1} = 2^{\aleph_0}$ and $R_2 = 2^{E^2}$, then there exists a Q -set [7, Theorem 4.5].

In [4], Kunen showed that $R_{\omega_1} = 2^{E^2}$ implied that $|E|$ is not a real-valued measurable cardinal. As Kunen points out, his argument is a variant of the known fact that a well-ordering of the real numbers is not Lebesgue measurable [11]. We shall generalize this argument as follows:

THEOREM 2. Let $|E| = c$. For each positive integer i , statement i implies statement $i + (1)$.

1. $2^{\aleph_0} = \aleph_1$;
2. Martin's Axiom;
3. $2^{E^2} = R_2 = R_{\sigma\delta}$;
4. $2^{E^2} = R_{\omega_1}$;

5. there is a countable ordinal α such that if H is a family of c subsets of E , then there is a countable family G of subsets of E such that $H \subseteq G_\alpha$;

6. there is a countable ordinal α such that if H is a family of c subsets of E and each member of H has cardinality $< c$, then there is a countable family G such that $H \subseteq G_\alpha$;

7. if H is a family of c subsets of E and each member of H has cardinality $< c$, then there is a countable family G such that H is a subcollection of the Borel algebra generated by G ;

8. if W is a subset of E^2 , then W is the union of a subfamily G of R_{ω_1} such that the cardinality of G is not real-valued measurable;

9. if κ is a real-valued measurable cardinal, then $\kappa > c$.

PROOF. For the first three implications, see Kunen [4]. As has been mentioned, $3 \nrightarrow 2$. Clearly $3 \rightarrow 4$, and in [1] it is shown that $4 \rightarrow 5$. The question "Does $4 \rightarrow 3$?" was raised in [1]. Clearly $5 \rightarrow 6$.

We shall now show that $6 \rightarrow 4$.

Let E be well ordered into an initial type; $E = \{x_1, x_2, \dots, x_\alpha, \dots \mid \alpha < c\}$. Let $A = \{(x_\alpha, x_\gamma) \mid \alpha < \gamma\}$ and let $B = E^2 - A$. For each y , $|A^y| < c$ and for each x , $|B_x| < c$.

Let $Z \subseteq E^2$. Then $Z = (Z \cap A) \cup (Z \cap B)$. Let $H = \{(Z \cap A)^y \mid y \in E\}$. Each member of H has cardinality $< c$. Thus, if 6 holds, there is a countable family of G of subsets of E and a countable ordinal α such that $H \subseteq G_\alpha$. It follows directly from Theorem 3 of [1] that $Z \cap A \in R_{\omega_1}$. Similarly, $Z \cap B \in R_{\omega_1}$. So, $6 \rightarrow 4$.

It should be noted that this argument may be used to show that if $|T| = \omega_1$, then every subset of T^2 is in the family $R_{\omega\delta}$. This fact is proven by different means by Kunen [4].

Also, it should be pointed out that if statement 5 holds, then if H is a family of c subsets of E , there is a countable family G of subsets of E such that $H \subseteq \bigcup_{\alpha < \omega_1} G_\alpha$. This problem was raised by Ulam and Rothberger [9], [14].

Clearly, $6 \rightarrow 7$. It is unknown to the author whether $7 \rightarrow 6$.

We shall now show that $7 \rightarrow 8$.

Let A and B be the sets described above. Let $W \subseteq E^2$ and let $H = \{(A \cap W)^y | y \in E\}$. Clearly, each member of H has cardinality $< c$ and $|H| \leq c$. Thus, from 7, there is a G , $|G| \leq \omega_0$ such that $H \subseteq \bigcup_{\gamma < \omega_1} G_\gamma$.

For each $\gamma < \omega_1$, let $K_\gamma = \{(x, y) | (x, y) \in A \cap W \text{ and } (A \cap W)^y \in G_\gamma\}$. It follows from Theorem 3 of [1] that $K_\gamma \in R_\gamma$.

Thus, $W \cap A = \bigcup_{\gamma < \omega_1} K_\gamma$. There is a similar argument for $W \cap B$ and certainly $7 \rightarrow 8$ since ω_1 is not a real-valued measurable cardinal.

Finally, we show $8 \rightarrow 9$. We argue indirectly. Suppose κ , $\kappa \leq c$, is real-valued measurable and 8 holds. Let ω_α be the first real-valued measurable cardinal and let $S = \{\gamma | \gamma < \omega_\alpha\}$ and let $A = \{(x, y) | (x, y) \in S \times S \text{ and } x \text{ precedes } y\}$.

It follows from statement 8 that there is a subfamily $G = \{A_\gamma\}_{\gamma < \lambda}$ of the Borel field generated by the rectangles over S such that $A = \bigcup_{\gamma < \lambda} A_\gamma$ and λ is not real-valued measurable.

Let μ be a free probability measure on ω_α which is ω_α -additive.

For each $\gamma < \lambda$, A_γ is $\mu \times \mu$ -measurable. We calculate the measure of A_γ by Fubini's theorem.

$$\mu \times \mu(A_\gamma) = \int_{S \times S} \chi_{A_\gamma} d(\mu \times \mu) = \int_S \left[\int_S \xi_{A_\gamma}(x, y) d\mu(x) \right] d\mu(y).$$

But, for each y , $\int_S \xi_{A_\gamma}(x, y) d\mu(x) = \mu(A_\gamma^y) = 0$. Thus, $(\mu \times \mu)(A_\gamma) = 0$.

For each $\gamma < \lambda$, let $P_\gamma = \{x | \mu((A_\gamma)_x) > 0\}$. It follows from Fubini's theorem that each P_γ has μ -measure 0.

However, for each $x \in S$, $\mu(A_x) > 0$ and $A_x = \bigcup_{\gamma < \lambda} (A_\gamma)_x$. Thus, $\bigcup_{\gamma < \lambda} P_\gamma = S$. But, since μ is ω_α -additive, $\mu(S) = 0$. This contradiction completes the proof of the theorem.

REMARK 1. The theorem that $8 \rightarrow 9$ was also proven by E. Fisher in his thesis [2]. In fact, Fisher showed that no well-ordering of ω_α is in the ω_α -algebra generated by R . The author was unaware of this and thanks the referee for pointing this out and for making a number of other helpful comments.

REMARK 2. In the first issue of Colloquium Mathematicum, Banach showed that the continuum hypothesis implies that there is a countable family of subsets of I , the unit interval, such that Lebesgue measure cannot be extended from the Lebesgue measurable sets to a σ -algebra containing these sets. The same result holds under Martin's Axiom. Any countable family $\{E_n\}_{n=1}^\infty$ such that a well-ordering of I (regarded as a subset of $I \times I$) is in the σ -algebra generated by the rectangles $A_n \times A_m$ will suffice. The argument is the same as above, in view of the fact that Lebesgue measure is c -additive under Martin's Axiom [6].

As mentioned earlier, it is apparently unknown whether $R_{\omega_1} = 2^{E^2}$ implies $R_2 = R_{\sigma\delta} = 2^{E^2}$. In fact, it is apparently unknown whether there is any family of sets G such that $G_\alpha = G_{\alpha+1}$, but $G_\beta \neq G_\gamma$ for $\beta < \alpha$ and $\alpha > 3$ [3]. It is known that the Baire order of compact T_2 spaces is either 0, 1 or ω_1 (here G is the family of all closed O_δ sets) [8]. It is apparently unknown what the Borel order of a compact T_2 space may be (here G is the family of sets which are the intersection of an open set and a closed set).

We now describe the Borel subsets of the ordinal spaces $[0, \alpha)$ provided with the order topology. First, in Theorem 4, the Borel subsets of $[0, \omega_1)$ are described. This theorem was proven by M. Bhaskara Rao and K. P. S. Bhaskara Rao [21].

THEOREM 3. *Every Borel subset of $[0, \omega_1)$ can be expressed as the union of countable many sets, each of which is the intersection of an open set and a closed set.*

PROOF. Let \mathfrak{N} be the σ -algebra of all subsets E of $[0, \omega_1)$ such that E or E' contains a closed unbounded subset of $[0, \omega_1)$. Clearly \mathfrak{N} contains the open sets and the closed sets.

Suppose $E \in \mathfrak{N}$ and E' contains a closed unbounded set F_0 . Let $\{V_\alpha\}_{\alpha \in A}$ be the set of all order components of the complement of F . Then $E \cap V_\alpha$ is countable: $E \cap V_\alpha = \{x_{\alpha n}\}_{n=1}^\infty$. Let $K_n = \{x_{\alpha n} | \alpha \in A\}$. For each n , K_n is closed in F' . Thus, $K_n = F_n \cap V$, where $F_n = \overline{K_n}$ and $V = F'$ and $E = \bigcup_{n=1}^\infty K_n$.

If $E \in \mathfrak{N}$ and E contains a closed unbounded set F_0 , then as before $E - F_0 = \bigcup_{n=1}^\infty (F_n \cap V)$ and $E = F_0 \cup \bigcup_{n=1}^\infty (F_n \cap V)$ where $V = F'_0$.

Thus, \mathfrak{N} is the family of all Borel subsets of $[0, \omega_1)$ and E is a Borel subset of $[0, \omega_1)$ if and only if E or E' contains a closed unbounded set.

REMARK 3. In contrast with the classical development, the smallest family containing the closed subsets of $[0, \omega_1)$ which is closed under countable unions and intersections is not the Borel algebra generated by the closed sets. In fact, let $\mathfrak{K} = \{x | x \text{ is countable or } x \text{ contains an unbounded closed set}\}$. Then \mathfrak{K} contains all the closed sets, $\mathfrak{K}_\sigma = \mathfrak{K}_\delta = \mathfrak{K}$, and yet $\mathfrak{K} \neq \mathfrak{N}$.

REMARK 4. It is known that the σ -algebra generated by Borel measurable rectangles in $[0, \omega_1) \times [0, \omega_1)$ does not include all Borel subsets of $[0, \omega_1) \times [0, \omega_1)$. In fact, the sets $D_1 = \{(x, y) | y > x\}$ and $D_2 = \{(x, y) | y < x\}$ are disjoint open sets which are not measurable with respect to the outer measure induced by the gauge $g(A \times B) = \mu(A) \cdot \mu(B)$, where μ is Dieudonne's measure: $\mu(E) = 1$, if E contains a closed unbounded set and $\mu(E) = 0$, otherwise.

We have

$$\mu_g^*(E) = \inf \left\{ \sum_{n=1}^\infty g(A_n \times B_n) \mid \bigcup_{n=1}^\infty A_n \times B_n \supset E \right\}.$$

It follows that μ_g^* is $\{0, 1\}$ -valued. We show $\mu_g(D_1) = \mu_g(D_2) = 1$ to show that there are nonmeasurable Borel sets. If $\mu_g(D_1) = 0$, then there is a sequence $\{A_n \times B_n\}_{n=1}^\infty$ such that $D_1 \subset \bigcup_{n=1}^\infty (A_n \times B_n)$ and for each n , A_n or B_n fails to contain a closed unbounded set.

Let A_{n_1}, A_{n_2}, \dots be the sequence of all the A_n 's of μ -measure 0. Let F be a closed unbounded subset of $\bigcap_{i=1}^\infty A_{n_i}$. Let $x \in F$ and let $K = \{y | y > x\}$. Then $\{x\} \times K \subset D_1$ and $\{x\} \times K \subset \bigcup_{i=1}^\infty (A_{m_i} \times B_{m_i})$ where A_{m_i} 's contain unbounded closed sets. Then no B_{m_i} contains an unbounded set and yet $K \subset \bigcup_{i=1}^\infty B_{m_i}$. This is a contradiction.

Note. The referee points out that D_1 and D_2 are not measurable in $\mu \times \mu$ follows immediately by Fubini's theorem, as in the proof of $8 \rightarrow 9$ in Theorem 2.

THEOREM 4. *Let α be an ordinal. Every Borel subset of $[0, \alpha)$ can be expressed as the union of countably many sets, each of which is the intersection of an open set and a closed set.*

PROOF. Clearly, the theorem holds for all ordinals $\alpha, \alpha \leq \omega_1$. It is also easy to show that if the theorem holds for the ordinal α , then it holds for $\alpha + 1$.

So assume α is a limit ordinal and the theorem holds for all $\beta < \alpha$. We consider two cases.

Case 1. cf $(\alpha) = \alpha$.

In this case, let $\mathfrak{N} = \{E | E \text{ or } E' \text{ contains a closed unbounded set and } \forall \gamma < \alpha, E \cap [0, \gamma) \text{ is Borel in } [0, \gamma)\}$.

\mathfrak{N} is a σ -algebra and \mathfrak{N} contains both the closed and the open subsets of $[0, \alpha)$.

Suppose $E \in \mathfrak{N}$ and $E' \supset F_0, F_0$ a closed unbounded set. Let $\{I_\gamma\}_{\gamma \in \Gamma}$ be the set of order components of $[0, \alpha) - F_0$.

Then, $E \cap I_\alpha$ is Borel in I_α . Thus, $E \cap I_\alpha = \bigcup_{n=1}^\infty (F_{n\alpha} \cap O_{n\alpha})$, where $F_{n\alpha}$ is closed in I_α and $O_{n\alpha}$ is open in I_α .

For each n , let $F_n = \overline{\bigcup_{\gamma \in \Gamma} F_{n\gamma}}$ and let $U_n = \bigcup_{\gamma \in \Gamma} O_{n\gamma}$. It follows that $E = \bigcup_{\gamma \in \Gamma} (E \cap I_\gamma) = \bigcup_{n=1}^\infty (F_n \cap U_n)$.

If $E \in \mathfrak{N}$ and $E \supset F_0, F_0$ a closed unbounded set, then $(E - F_0) \supset F_0$ and we obtain $E = F_0 \cup \bigcup_{n=1}^\infty (F_n \cap U_n)$.

Case II. cf $(\alpha) = \tau < \alpha$.

In this case fix a set $F_0 = \{\gamma_\beta\}_{\beta < \tau}$ running through α and such that F_0 is closed. Let $\{I_\sigma\}_{\sigma \in \Sigma}$ be the set of order components of F_0' . If E is Borel in $[0, \alpha)$, then $E \cap F_0$ is Borel in F_0 and $E \cap I_\sigma$ is Borel in I_σ . Since $\tau < \alpha, E \cap F_0 = \bigcup_{n=1}^\infty (\mathfrak{K}_n \cap V_n)$, where \mathfrak{K}_n is closed in F_0 and V_n is open in F_0 . Thus, $E \cap F_0 = \bigcup_{n=1}^\infty F_{2n} \cap U_{2n}, F_{2n}$ closed in $[0, \alpha)$ and U_{2n} open in $[0, \alpha)$. For each $\sigma \in \Sigma, E \cap I_\sigma = \bigcup_{n=1}^\infty (F_{n\sigma} \cap U_{n\sigma})$. Let $F_{2n-1} = \overline{\bigcup_{\sigma \in \Sigma} F_{n\sigma}}$ and $U_{2n-1} = \bigcup_{\sigma \in \Sigma} U_{n\sigma}$. It follows that $E \cap F_0' = \bigcup (F_{2n-1} \cap U_{2n-1})$ and $E = \bigcup_{n=1}^\infty (F_n \cap U_n)$. Q.E.D.

Thus, if one considers the compact T_2 space $[0, \alpha]$, it has Borel order 1 no matter what ordinal α is.

Problem. Does this result hold for all compact scattered T_2 spaces? Is the Borel order of the other compact T_2 spaces ω_1 ?

In [21], it is shown that there is no nonatomic, countably additive, finite measure defined on the Borel subsets of $[0, \omega_1)$. We generalize this in the next theorem.

THEOREM 5. *If there is no real-valued measurable cardinal κ with $\kappa \leq \alpha$, then every countably additive finite measure defined on the Borel subsets of $[0, \alpha)$ is purely atomic.*

PROOF. Let us assume the contrary. Let us assume that α is the first ordinal for which such a measure exists and that μ is a nonatomic probability measure defined on the Borel subsets of $[0, \alpha)$.

Notice that if E is a Borel subset of $[0, \alpha)$ such that E is Borel isomorphic to some space $[0, \beta)$, with $\beta < \alpha$, then $\mu(E) = 0$. Next notice that if F is a closed cofinal subset of $[0, \alpha)$, then the open set $U = F'$ has measure zero. This can be seen as follows: First let φ be a 1-1 map from $[0, \beta)$, for some $\beta \leq \alpha$, onto the set of order components of U . Define ν on each subset W of $[0, \beta)$ by $\nu(W) = \mu(\cup\{\varphi(\gamma) : \gamma \in W\})$. Then ν is a free countably additive finite measure defined on all subsets of $[0, \beta)$. Therefore $\nu([0, \beta]) = \mu(U) = 0$.

Suppose E is a Borel set which fails to contain a closed cofinal set. By the previous theorem, $E = \cup_{i=1}^{\infty} (F_i \cap U_i)$, where for each i , F_i is closed and U_i is open. For each i , either F_i or U_i fails to contain a closed cofinal set. If U_i does not contain such a set, then $\mu(U_i) = 0$. If F_i fails to contain such a set, then F_i is a subset of an open set not containing a closed cofinal set. Therefore, $\mu(E) = 0$.

Finally, notice that if B is a Borel set, then either B or B' contains a closed cofinal subset. But, this implies that μ is purely atomic.

REMARK. It is known that every regular Borel measure on any ordinal space (or, more generally, any compact dispersed space) is concentrated on a countable set.

REFERENCES

1. R. H. Bing, W. W. Bledsoe and R. D. Mauldin, *On sets generated by rectangles*, Pacific J. Math. **51** (1974), 27—36.
2. E. R. Fisher, *Toward integration without fear*, Ph.D. Thesis, Department of Mathematics, Carnegie Mellon University, 1969.
3. K. Kolmogorov, *Problème 65*, Fund. Math. **25** (1935), 578.
4. K. Kunen, *Inaccessibility properties of cardinals*, Ph.D. Thesis, Department of Mathematics, Stanford University, August 1968.
5. Szpilrajn-Marczewski, *O miersalnosci i warunku Baire's*, C. R. du I Congres des Math. des Pays Slaves, Varsovi 1929, p. 209.
6. D. A. Martin and R. M. Solovay, *Internal Cohen extensions*, Ann. Math. Logic **2** (1970), no. 2, 143—178. MR **42** #5787.
7. R. D. Mauldin, *On generalized rectangles and countably generated families*, Fund. Math. (to appear).
8. ———, *Baire functions, Borel sets and ordinary function systems*, Advances in Math. **12** (1973), 418—450.
9. F. Rothberger, *On families of real functions with a denumerable base*, Ann. of Math. (2) **45** (1944), 397—406. MR **6**, 120.
10. M. E. Rudin, *A subset of the countable ordinals*, Amer. Math. Monthly **64** (1957), 351. MR **19**, 4.
11. W. Sierpinski, *Sur les rapports entre l'existence des intégrales $\int_0^1 f(x,y) dx \int_0^1 f(x,y) dy$ et $\int_0^1 dx \int_0^1 f(x,y) dy$* , Fund. Math. **1** (1920), 142—147.
12. ———, *Sur les espaces metriques universels*, Fund. Math. **33** (1945), 123—136. MR **7**, 420.
13. S. M. Ulam, *Zur Masstheorie in der allgemeinen Mengenlehre*, Fund. Math. **16** (1930), 141—150.
14. ———, *Problem 74*, Fund. Math. **30**(1938), p. 365.
15. ———, *Problems in modern mathematics*, Science Editions, Wiley, New York, 1964. MR **43** #6031.
16. A. S. Esenin-Vol'pin, *On the existence of a universal bicomactum of arbitrary weight*, Dokl. Akad. Nauk SSSR **68** (1949), 649—652. (Russian) MR **11**, 165.

17. W. W. Comfort and S. Negrepointis, *The theory of ultrafilters*, Springer-Verlag, New York, 1974.
18. R. Mansfield, *The solution to one of Ulam's problems concerning analytic sets. II*, Proc. Amer. Math. Soc. **26** (1970), 539—540. MR **43** #4988.
19. B. V. Rao, *Remarks on analytic sets*, Fund. Math. **66** (1969/70), 237—239. MR **43** #451.
20. ———, *On discrete Borel spaces and projective sets*, Bull. Amer. Math. Soc. **75** (1969), 614—617. MR **39** #4014.
21. M. Bhaskara Rao and K. P. S. Bhaskara Rao, *Borel σ -algebra on $[0, \Omega]$* , Manuscripta Math. **5** (1971), 195—198. MR **45** #2118.

DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS, UNIVERSITY OF FLORIDA, GAINESVILLE, FLORIDA 32611